Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/527,087	MAEKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Brian Szmal	3736
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Brian Szmal.	(3)	
(2) Ryan Chirnomas.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 March 2008.		
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No. If Yes, brief description:		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 13</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 was discussed with reference to overcomina a possible rejection due to non-statutory subject matter. The Applicants' representative agreed to the changes to Claim 1. Claim 13 was discussed with reference to more clearly claiming the Applicants' invention. The Applicants' representative agreed to amend the claim. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable, is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requi	red